

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UN COMMERCE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/987,153	11/13/2001	Shinichi Takagi	019519-312	6439
21839 7590 03/13/2007 BUCHANAN, INGERSOLL & ROONEY PC				
POST OFFICE E	3OX 1404		CLARK, SHEILA V	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2823	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MON	THS	03/13/2007	PAF	ER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	4		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summers	09/987,153	TAKAGI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	S. V. Clark	2823	•
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUN R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. I reply be timely filed INTHS from the mailing date of this communic ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status ·			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	,		
	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo		tters, prosecution as to the meri	ts is
closed in accordance with the practice under	· / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /	/ • • /	10 10
Disposition of Claims	,		
·	ation		
 4) Claim(s) <u>11-62</u> is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 			
	irawii iloili consideration.	•	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) <u>11-62</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	d/or election requirement		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	a/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	ınce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	rection is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	21(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-15	2.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•	
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
 Certified copies of the priority document 	ents have been received.		
Certified copies of the priority document	ents have been received in a	Application No	
Copies of the certified copies of the p	riority documents have been	n received in this National Stage	e
application from the International Bur	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies no	t received.	
		•	
Attachment(s)	, -	0 (070 ::0)	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) D Notice of	Informal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	<u></u> .	

Application/Control Number: 09/987,153

Art Unit: 2823

Claims 11-62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 251 as being an improper recapture of broadened claimed subject matter surrendered in the application for the patent upon which the present reissue is based. See *Pannu v. Storz Instruments Inc.*, 258 F.3d 1366, 59 USPQ2d 1597 (Fed. Cir. 2001); *Hester Industries, Inc. v. Stein, Inc.*, 142 F.3d 1472, 46 USPQ2d 1641 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Clement,* 131 F.3d 1464, 45 USPQ2d 1161 (Fed. Cir. 1997); *Ball Corp. v. United States*, 729 F.2d 1429, 1436, 221 USPQ 289, 295 (Fed. Cir. 1984). A broadening aspect is present in the reissue, which was not present in the application for patent. The record of the application for the patent shows that the broadening aspect (in the reissue) relates to claim subject matter that applicant previously surrendered during the prosecution of the application. Accordingly, the narrow scope of the claims in the patent was not an error within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. 251, and the broader scope of claim subject matter surrendered in the application for the patent cannot be recaptured by the filing of the present reissue application.

All independent reissue claims 11, 16, 21, 22, 24, 25, 27, 28, 30, 33, 35, 36, 38, 40, 42, 45, 48, 51, 52, 54, 55, 59, 60, 61 contain recapture issues whereby said claims fail to contain subject matter surrendered in the original application. Claim language similar to "wherein said level difference serves to avoid capillary flow of solder to prevent short-circuiting between the leads adjacent to each other" appears in every patented independent claim. Applicant in the amendment filed 6-15-1999 presented arguments relative to the level difference allowing a clearance so to avoid the capillary flow of solder which caused short circuiting as an essential feature regarding the patentability

Application/Control Number: 09/987,153

Art Unit: 2823

of this invention and whereby this feature was therefore added to obtain allowance of the original patent. This feature appears relates to subject matter previously surrendered in the original application and must be included in the reissue claims.

The MPEP 1412.02 states that, "for example, assume that limitation A of the patent claims is omitted in the reissue claims. This omission provides a broadening aspect in the reissue claims, as compared to the claims of the patent. If the omitted limitation A was argued in the original application to make the application claims allowable over the art in the application, then the omitted limitation relates to subject matter previously surrendered in the original application" see 142 F.3d at 1482,46 USPQ2d at 1649.

Independent claim 55 contains further recapture issues whereby said claim is broader than the original patent claims by deletion of a limitation or claim requirement that was surrendered in the original application. Said claim fails to include claims language of "said base (or lead) is shaped to form an extended portion providing a level difference along the base portion between said package and the plurality of leads proximate said package attaching plane so that a space is formed between the leads and the package" which was added in the amendment of June 6, 1999 to obtain allowance of the original patent. Said "level difference" and associated claim language was further argued in said amendment as being a key aspect of this invention relative to patentability. Claim 55 must also include this language that was surrendered in the patented claims.

Claims 11-62 are rejected.

Art Unit: 2823

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to S. V. Clark at telephone number (571) 272-1725.

S. V. Clark

Primary Examiner Art Unit 2823

March 7, 2007